IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 426 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 488 OF 2006

SHRI MICHAEL VIEGAS AND 5 ORS., ... Applicants
Versus
STATE OF GOA THROUGH ITS CHIEF SECRETARY AND 6 ORS., ... Respondents

Mr. Mahesh Sonak,Advocate for the applicant.
Ms. R. Chodankar, Advocate for the respondents No.1 to 5.
Mr. Shivan Desai, Advocate for the respondents No. 6 & 7.

Coram:- R. S. MOHITE &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 30th July, 2007

P.C.

This is an application for amendment. The Advocate for the respondents No. 6 & 7 appears and states that he wants to file a reply, to the application. We perused all papers. In our view, the reply can be filed after the amendment is effected and the reply to the allegations can be made in the main petition. No prejudice will be caused to any party if amendment is allowed. Hence, for the reasons mentioned in the application, M.C.A. No.426/2007 is allowed. Amendment to be carried out within 2 weeks. Amended copy be furnished to other side within 4 weeks. After amendment is carried out, issue notice to the newly added respondents No. 8 & 9 returnable in 12 weeks. M.C.A. disposed off.

R. S. MOHITE, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

SMA